

Broker Vetting Sheet

Licensing makes someone legal, not good. Ask these in the first conversation. A professional answers crisply; anyone who gets weird about compensation has told you what you need to know.

Name		Brokerage	
Licence #		Years licensed	
Verified at provincial regulator FSRA (ON) • BCFS (BC) • RECA (AB) • AMF (QC) • FCNB (NB) etc.		Date verified	

The five questions

- ☐ 1. "How many lenders do you actively work with — and which would you shortlist for me, and why?"
Want: named lenders and reasons. Not: "I have access to over 50 lenders!" Access ≠ use.
- ☐ 2. "How are you paid on my file? Any scenario where I'd pay you directly?"
Want: a one-breath answer. Direct fees on standard good-credit files are a marching band of red flags.
- ☐ 3. "Will you show me 2-3 options in writing, including the penalty terms — not just the rates?"
The penalty question separates professionals from rate-quoters.
- ☐ 4. "Who handles my file at renewal — do you proactively re-shop me?"
Listen for a process, not a promise.
- ☐ 5. **Non-standard files:** "How many [self-employed / newcomer / credit-rebuild] files have you placed in the last six months, and with which lenders?"
On a complex file, the right broker is worth more than a full point of rate.

If going direct to a bank instead

- ☐ Never accepted the first rate
It is an opening bid from an institution that publishes fake sticker prices.
- ☐ Brought a written competing offer
- ☐ Asked explicitly: "What is the best discretionary pricing you can get approved on this file?"
- ☐ Settled the rate BEFORE discussing insurance, cards, or moving investments
Bundles are where clarity goes to die.
- ☐ Asked how the penalty is calculated — and whether the formula uses posted rates
Possibly the whole decision.

CHOSEN GUIDE & WHY

For personal planning only — not financial, legal, or tax advice. Figures and program rules change; verify current values with official sources and licensed professionals.